

Table 5-2 presents a summary of the number of SD and FO county bridges and the estimated replacement cost for each county.

TABLE 5-2. SUMMARY OF POOR ("SD") AND FUNCTIONALLY OBSOLETE COUNTY BRIDGES BY COUNTY

| County | All Bridges | Adequate Bridges | SD Count | SD Replacement Cost | FO Count | FO Replacement Cost | Combined Count | Combined Replacement Cost | % Total ¹⁰ |
|------------|-------------|------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| ADAIR | 97 | 76 | 20 | \$ 6,353,000.00 | 1 | \$ 250,000.00 | 21 | \$ 6,603,000.00 | 0.82% |
| ALFALFA | 317 | 281 | 23 | \$ 6,635,000.00 | 13 | \$ 3,655,000.00 | 36 | \$ 10,290,000.00 | 1.27% |
| ATOKA | 140 | 125 | 8 | \$ 2,754,000.00 | 7 | \$ 2,123,000.00 | 15 | \$ 4,877,000.00 | 0.60% |
| BEAVER | 139 | 135 | 2 | \$ 591,000.00 | 2 | \$ 557,000.00 | 4 | \$ 1,148,000.00 | 0.14% |
| BECKHAM | 168 | 161 | 1 | \$ 294,000.00 | 6 | \$ 1,500,000.00 | 7 | \$ 1,794,000.00 | 0.22% |
| BLAINE | 214 | 194 | 19 | \$ 5,954,000.00 | 1 | \$ 406,000.00 | 20 | \$ 6,360,000.00 | 0.79% |
| BRYAN | 155 | 112 | 27 | \$ 9,696,000.00 | 16 | \$ 4,431,000.00 | 43 | \$ 14,127,000.00 | 1.75% |
| CADDO | 288 | 227 | 52 | \$ 18,828,000.00 | 9 | \$ 2,580,000.00 | 61 | \$ 21,408,000.00 | 2.65% |
| CANADIAN | 164 | 145 | 9 | \$ 2,250,000.00 | 10 | \$ 4,392,000.00 | 19 | \$ 6,642,000.00 | 0.82% |
| CARTER | 200 | 184 | 14 | \$ 4,785,000.00 | 2 | \$ 511,000.00 | 16 | \$ 5,296,000.00 | 0.65% |
| CHEROKEE | 122 | 95 | 21 | \$ 7,757,000.00 | 6 | \$ 2,269,000.00 | 27 | \$ 10,026,000.00 | 1.24% |
| CHOCTAW | 142 | 119 | 16 | \$ 4,123,000.00 | 7 | \$ 1,776,000.00 | 23 | \$ 5,899,000.00 | 0.73% |
| CIMARRON | 45 | 40 | 1 | \$ 5,444,000.00 | 4 | \$ 2,383,000.00 | 5 | \$ 7,827,000.00 | 0.97% |
| CLEVELAND | 65 | 62 | 2 | \$ 500,000.00 | 1 | \$ 281,000.00 | 3 | \$ 781,000.00 | 0.10% |
| COAL | 89 | 77 | 9 | \$ 2,957,000.00 | 3 | \$ 750,000.00 | 12 | \$ 3,707,000.00 | 0.46% |
| COMANCHE | 283 | 225 | 41 | \$ 12,758,000.00 | 17 | \$ 6,408,000.00 | 58 | \$ 19,166,000.00 | 2.37% |
| COTTON | 113 | 106 | 6 | \$ 1,500,000.00 | 1 | \$ 350,000.00 | 7 | \$ 1,850,000.00 | 0.23% |
| CRAIG | 104 | 68 | 32 | \$ 9,253,000.00 | 4 | \$ 4,768,000.00 | 36 | \$ 14,021,000.00 | 1.73% |
| CREEK | 235 | 139 | 78 | \$ 21,922,000.00 | 18 | \$ 4,936,000.00 | 96 | \$ 26,858,000.00 | 3.32% |
| CUSTER | 260 | 253 | 4 | \$ 1,389,000.00 | 3 | \$ 969,000.00 | 7 | \$ 2,358,000.00 | 0.29% |
| DELAWARE | 95 | 67 | 25 | \$ 10,516,000.00 | 3 | \$ 1,784,000.00 | 28 | \$ 12,300,000.00 | 1.52% |
| DEWEY | 136 | 134 | 1 | \$ 311,000.00 | 1 | \$ 668,000.00 | 2 | \$ 979,000.00 | 0.12% |
| ELLIS | 107 | 106 | 0 | \$ - | 1 | \$ 250,000.00 | 1 | \$ 250,000.00 | 0.03% |
| GARFIELD | 366 | 286 | 46 | \$ 13,678,000.00 | 34 | \$ 12,254,000.00 | 80 | \$ 25,932,000.00 | 3.20% |
| GARVIN | 216 | 176 | 33 | \$ 11,556,000.00 | 7 | \$ 1,921,000.00 | 40 | \$ 13,477,000.00 | 1.67% |
| GRADY | 278 | 237 | 26 | \$ 10,776,000.00 | 15 | \$ 5,132,000.00 | 41 | \$ 15,908,000.00 | 1.97% |
| GRANT | 405 | 315 | 15 | \$ 4,717,000.00 | 75 | \$ 29,673,000.00 | 90 | \$ 34,390,000.00 | 4.25% |
| GREER | 200 | 192 | 4 | \$ 6,011,000.00 | 4 | \$ 1,210,000.00 | 8 | \$ 7,221,000.00 | 0.89% |
| HARMON | 77 | 76 | 1 | \$ 480,000.00 | 0 | \$ - | 1 | \$ 480,000.00 | 0.06% |
| HARPER | 79 | 74 | 2 | \$ 552,000.00 | 3 | \$ 807,000.00 | 5 | \$ 1,359,000.00 | 0.17% |
| HASKELL | 112 | 100 | 10 | \$ 3,422,000.00 | 2 | \$ 2,798,000.00 | 12 | \$ 6,220,000.00 | 0.77% |
| HUGHES | 145 | 111 | 18 | \$ 8,137,000.00 | 16 | \$ 5,496,000.00 | 34 | \$ 13,633,000.00 | 1.68% |
| JACKSON | 230 | 215 | 7 | \$ 1,750,000.00 | 8 | \$ 2,000,000.00 | 15 | \$ 3,750,000.00 | 0.46% |
| JEFFERSON | 96 | 79 | 11 | \$ 3,065,000.00 | 6 | \$ 1,789,000.00 | 17 | \$ 4,854,000.00 | 0.60% |
| JOHNSTON | 99 | 83 | 5 | \$ 1,252,000.00 | 11 | \$ 4,390,000.00 | 16 | \$ 5,642,000.00 | 0.70% |
| KAY | 270 | 238 | 17 | \$ 5,358,000.00 | 15 | \$ 6,415,000.00 | 32 | \$ 11,773,000.00 | 1.45% |
| KINGFISHER | 254 | 226 | 16 | \$ 9,029,000.00 | 12 | \$ 4,272,000.00 | 28 | \$ 13,301,000.00 | 1.64% |
| KIOWA | 350 | 323 | 14 | \$ 4,433,000.00 | 13 | \$ 4,086,000.00 | 27 | \$ 8,519,000.00 | 1.05% |
| LATIMER | 134 | 119 | 12 | \$ 3,409,000.00 | 3 | \$ 1,153,000.00 | 15 | \$ 4,562,000.00 | 0.56% |
| LEFLORE | 243 | 173 | 48 | \$ 15,448,000.00 | 22 | \$ 7,296,000.00 | 70 | \$ 22,744,000.00 | 2.81% |
| LINCOLN | 325 | 210 | 51 | \$ 15,764,000.00 | 64 | \$ 17,984,000.00 | 115 | \$ 33,748,000.00 | 4.17% |
| LOGAN | 200 | 114 | 53 | \$ 17,085,000.00 | 33 | \$ 8,786,000.00 | 86 | \$ 25,871,000.00 | 3.20% |
| LOVE | 64 | 61 | 2 | \$ 500,000.00 | 1 | \$ 441,000.00 | 3 | \$ 941,000.00 | 0.12% |
| MCCLAIN | 110 | 101 | 6 | \$ 2,458,000.00 | 3 | \$ 812,000.00 | 9 | \$ 3,270,000.00 | 0.40% |
| MCCURTAIN | 161 | 117 | 29 | \$ 8,830,000.00 | 15 | \$ 6,362,000.00 | 44 | \$ 15,192,000.00 | 1.88% |

¹⁰ Each county's percent of the total replacement cost for structurally deficient and functionally obsolete bridges is based on unrounded data. The replacement costs presented in the table are rounded to the nearest thousand dollar.

Table 5-2 presents a summary of the number of SD and FO county bridges and the estimated replacement cost for each county.

TABLE 5-2. SUMMARY OF POOR ("SD") AND FUNCTIONALLY OBSOLETE COUNTY BRIDGES BY COUNTY

| County | All Bridges | Adequate Bridges | SD Count | SD Replacement Cost | FO Count | FO Replacement Cost | Combined Count | Combined Replacement Cost | % Total ¹⁰ |
|--------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| MCINTOSH | 94 | 77 | 12 | \$ 3,059,000.00 | 5 | \$ 1,640,000.00 | 17 | \$ 4,699,000.00 | 0.58% |
| MAJOR | 217 | 189 | 22 | \$ 7,115,000.00 | 6 | \$ 1,995,000.00 | 28 | \$ 9,110,000.00 | 1.13% |
| MARSHALL | 50 | 38 | 9 | \$ 2,879,000.00 | 3 | \$ 1,357,000.00 | 12 | \$ 4,236,000.00 | 0.52% |
| MAYES | 124 | 84 | 30 | \$ 10,262,000.00 | 10 | \$ 4,042,000.00 | 40 | \$ 14,304,000.00 | 1.77% |
| MURRAY | 58 | 55 | 3 | \$ 947,000.00 | 0 | \$ - | 3 | \$ 947,000.00 | 0.12% |
| MUSKOGEE | 180 | 134 | 33 | \$ 8,988,000.00 | 13 | \$ 5,245,000.00 | 46 | \$ 14,233,000.00 | 1.76% |
| NOBLE | 199 | 170 | 10 | \$ 3,430,000.00 | 19 | \$ 5,213,000.00 | 29 | \$ 8,643,000.00 | 1.07% |
| NOWATA | 91 | 77 | 14 | \$ 4,063,000.00 | 0 | \$ - | 14 | \$ 4,063,000.00 | 0.50% |
| OKFUSKEE | 137 | 114 | 11 | \$ 4,148,000.00 | 12 | \$ 3,377,000.00 | 23 | \$ 7,525,000.00 | 0.93% |
| OKLAHOMA | 108 | 96 | 8 | \$ 4,472,000.00 | 4 | \$ 1,339,000.00 | 12 | \$ 5,811,000.00 | 0.72% |
| OKMULGEE | 193 | 126 | 50 | \$ 19,236,000.00 | 17 | \$ 5,307,000.00 | 67 | \$ 24,543,000.00 | 3.03% |
| OSAGE | 255 | 151 | 71 | \$ 22,812,000.00 | 33 | \$ 12,423,000.00 | 104 | \$ 35,235,000.00 | 4.35% |
| OTTAWA | 160 | 97 | 56 | \$ 16,905,000.00 | 7 | \$ 1,750,000.00 | 63 | \$ 18,655,000.00 | 2.31% |
| PAWNEE | 110 | 65 | 31 | \$ 16,859,000.00 | 14 | \$ 6,019,000.00 | 45 | \$ 22,878,000.00 | 2.83% |
| PAYNE | 254 | 215 | 35 | \$ 11,910,000.00 | 4 | \$ 1,030,000.00 | 39 | \$ 12,940,000.00 | 1.60% |
| PITTSBURG | 199 | 168 | 26 | \$ 6,591,000.00 | 5 | \$ 1,604,000.00 | 31 | \$ 8,195,000.00 | 1.01% |
| PONTOTOC | 156 | 138 | 4 | \$ 2,932,000.00 | 14 | \$ 4,602,000.00 | 18 | \$ 7,534,000.00 | 0.93% |
| POTTAWATOMIE | 247 | 215 | 21 | \$ 9,351,000.00 | 11 | \$ 3,782,000.00 | 32 | \$ 13,133,000.00 | 1.62% |
| PUSHMATAHA | 117 | 93 | 11 | \$ 2,998,000.00 | 13 | \$ 3,711,000.00 | 24 | \$ 6,709,000.00 | 0.83% |
| ROGER MILLS | 102 | 102 | 0 | \$ - | 0 | \$ - | 0 | \$ - | 0.00% |
| ROGERS | 122 | 90 | 24 | \$ 11,318,000.00 | 8 | \$ 2,470,000.00 | 32 | \$ 13,788,000.00 | 1.70% |
| SEMINOLE | 182 | 128 | 32 | \$ 12,586,000.00 | 22 | \$ 6,773,000.00 | 54 | \$ 19,359,000.00 | 2.39% |
| SEQUOYAH | 108 | 98 | 6 | \$ 3,200,000.00 | 4 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | 10 | \$ 4,200,000.00 | 0.52% |
| STEPHENS | 267 | 245 | 8 | \$ 2,962,000.00 | 14 | \$ 3,675,000.00 | 22 | \$ 6,637,000.00 | 0.82% |
| TEXAS | 71 | 70 | 0 | \$ - | 1 | \$ 503,000.00 | 1 | \$ 503,000.00 | 0.06% |
| TILLMAN | 213 | 201 | 6 | \$ 1,500,000.00 | 6 | \$ 1,520,000.00 | 12 | \$ 3,020,000.00 | 0.37% |
| TULSA | 203 | 143 | 33 | \$ 17,048,000.00 | 27 | \$ 28,918,000.00 | 60 | \$ 45,966,000.00 | 5.68% |
| WAGONER | 101 | 86 | 11 | \$ 3,174,000.00 | 4 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | 15 | \$ 4,174,000.00 | 0.52% |
| WASHINGTON | 151 | 123 | 18 | \$ 6,300,000.00 | 10 | \$ 2,544,000.00 | 28 | \$ 8,844,000.00 | 1.09% |
| WASHITA | 280 | 235 | 12 | \$ 3,844,000.00 | 33 | \$ 9,433,000.00 | 45 | \$ 13,277,000.00 | 1.64% |
| WOODS | 249 | 232 | 9 | \$ 3,386,000.00 | 8 | \$ 2,293,000.00 | 17 | \$ 5,679,000.00 | 0.70% |
| WOODWARD | 98 | 90 | 7 | \$ 2,845,000.00 | 1 | \$ 250,000.00 | 8 | \$ 3,095,000.00 | 0.38% |
| Total | 13,188 | 10,902 | 1,460 | \$ 482,888,000.00 | 826 | \$ 273,148,000.00 | 2,286 | \$ 756,036,000.00 | 100% |