## County Bridges

## TABLE B

SUMMARY OF BRIDGE NEEDS REPLACEMENT COST

| COUNTY | TOTAL BRIDGES | ADEQUATE BRIDGES | STRUCTURALLY DEFICIENT |  | FUNCTIONALLY OBSOLETE |  | TOTAL OBSOLETE OR DEFICIENT BRIDGES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NUMBER | NUMBER | NUMBER | REPLACEMENT COST | NUMBER | REPLACEMENT COST | NUMBER | REPLACEMENT COST |
| ADAIR | 99 | 77 | 21 | \$6,090,000 | 1 | \$225,000 | 22 | \$6,315,000 |
| ALFALFA | 322 | 264 | 55 | \$15,604,000 | 3 | \$835,000 | 58 | \$16,439,000 |
| ATOKA | 141 | 126 | 13 | \$3,647,000 | 2 | \$562,000 | 15 | \$4,209,000 |
| BEAVER | 139 | 130 | 9 | \$7,014,000 | 0 | \$0 | 9 | \$7,014,000 |
| BECKHAM | 183 | 167 | 7 | \$2,067,000 | 9 | \$2,501,000 | 16 | \$4,568,000 |
| BLAINE | 233 | 195 | 38 | \$10,723,000 | 0 | \$0 | 38 | \$10,723,000 |
| BRYAN | 156 | 121 | 20 | \$6,688,000 | 15 | \$4,766,000 | 35 | \$11,454,000 |
| CADDO | 323 | 223 | 98 | \$31,126,000 | 2 | \$580,000 | 100 | \$31,706,000 |
| CANADIAN | 169 | 137 | 25 | \$9,647,000 | 7 | \$1,496,000 | 32 | \$11,143,000 |
| CARTER | 209 | 184 | 23 | \$7,353,000 | 2 | \$511,000 | 25 | \$7,864,000 |
| CHEROKEE | 121 | 92 | 26 | \$10,518,000 | 3 | \$1,244,000 | 29 | \$11,762,000 |
| CHOCTAW | 141 | 123 | 13 | \$3,414,000 | 5 | \$1,219,000 | 18 | \$4,633,000 |
| CIMARRON | 45 | 40 | 4 | \$4,378,000 | 1 | \$225,000 | 5 | \$4,603,000 |
| CLEVELAND | 67 | 64 | 3 | \$1,481,000 | 0 | \$0 | 3 | \$1,481,000 |
| COAL | 96 | 77 | 18 | \$5,565,000 | 1 | \$225,000 | 19 | \$5,790,000 |
| COMANCHE | 286 | 227 | 46 | \$14,401,000 | 13 | \$4,950,000 | 59 | \$19,351,000 |
| COTTON | 109 | 100 | 8 | \$2,142,000 | 1 | \$350,000 | 9 | \$2,492,000 |
| CRAIG | 104 | 67 | 32 | \$9,055,000 | 5 | \$5,103,000 | 37 | \$14,158,000 |
| CREEK | 253 | 131 | 117 | \$31,656,000 | 5 | \$1,405,000 | 122 | \$33,061,000 |
| CUSTER | 270 | 246 | 19 | \$6,262,000 | 5 | \$1,440,000 | 24 | \$7,702,000 |
| DELAWARE | 100 | 72 | 25 | \$9,721,000 | 3 | \$1,991,000 | 28 | \$11,712,000 |
| DEWEY | 135 | 129 | 5 | \$1,211,000 | 1 | \$648,000 | 6 | \$1,859,000 |
| ELLIS | 104 | 99 | 5 | \$1,223,000 | 0 | \$0 | 5 | \$1,223,000 |
| GARFIELD | 388 | 274 | 103 | \$31,949,000 | 11 | \$3,755,000 | 114 | \$35,704,000 |
| GARVIN | 241 | 187 | 50 | \$14,446,000 | 4 | \$1,127,000 | 54 | \$15,573,000 |
| GRADY | 279 | 229 | 44 | \$16,665,000 | 6 | \$1,731,000 | 50 | \$18,396,000 |
| GRANT | 452 | 285 | 162 | \$54,024,000 | 5 | \$1,266,000 | 167 | \$55,290,000 |
| GREER | 201 | 187 | 13 | \$8,717,000 | 1 | \$236,000 | 14 | \$8,953,000 |
| HARMON | 76 | 73 | 3 | \$941,000 | 0 | \$0 | 3 | \$941,000 |
| HARPER | 75 | 71 | 4 | \$1,028,000 | 0 | \$0 | 4 | \$1,028,000 |
| HASKELL | 112 | 89 | 23 | \$7,247,000 | 0 | \$0 | 23 | \$7,247,000 |
| HUGHES | 157 | 107 | 43 | \$13,620,000 | 7 | \$2,340,000 | 50 | \$15,960,000 |
| JACKSON | 229 | 204 | 18 | \$6,631,000 | 7 | \$1,770,000 | 25 | \$8,401,000 |
| JEFFERSON | 94 | 75 | 16 | \$4,322,000 | 3 | \$771,000 | 19 | \$5,093,000 |
| JOHNSTON | 102 | 70 | 25 | \$7,872,000 | 7 | \$3,115,000 | 32 | \$10,987,000 |
| KAY | 262 | 211 | 47 | \$13,387,000 | 4 | \$1,090,000 | 51 | \$14,477,000 |
| KINGFISHER | 253 | 204 | 39 | \$15,491,000 | 10 | \$3,350,000 | 49 | \$18,841,000 |
| KIOWA | 350 | 316 | 26 | \$8,596,000 | 8 | \$2,084,000 | 34 | \$10,680,000 |
| LATIMER | 138 | 129 | 7 | \$2,206,000 | 2 | \$450,000 | 9 | \$2,656,000 |
| LEFLORE | 238 | 179 | 45 | \$15,564,000 | 14 | \$3,991,000 | 59 | \$19,555,000 |
| LINCOLN | 365 | 198 | 156 | \$43,840,000 | 11 | \$2,662,000 | 167 | \$46,502,000 |
| LOGAN | 215 | 113 | 88 | \$27,475,000 | 14 | \$4,159,000 | 102 | \$31,634,000 |
| LOVE | 62 | 59 | 3 | \$1,140,000 | 0 | \$0 | 3 | \$1,140,000 |
| MCCLAIN | 118 | 99 | 19 | \$6,668,000 | 0 | \$0 | 19 | \$6,668,000 |
| MCCURTAIN | 171 | 132 | 26 | \$12,544,000 | 13 | \$4,298,000 | 39 | \$16,842,000 |
| MCINTOSH | 97 | 75 | 19 | \$5,551,000 | 3 | \$777,000 | 22 | \$6,328,000 |
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| MAJOR | 216 | 189 | 25 | \$8,263,000 | 2 | \$819,000 | 27 | \$9,082,000 |
| MARSHALL | 50 | 43 | 7 | \$2,885,000 | 0 | \$0 | 7 | \$2,885,000 |
| MAYES | 124 | 87 | 31 | \$10,320,000 | 6 | \$3,169,000 | 37 | \$13,489,000 |
| MURRAY | 58 | 54 | 4 | \$2,242,000 | 0 | \$0 | 4 | \$2,242,000 |
| MUSKOGEE | 185 | 110 | 70 | \$20,693,000 | 5 | \$1,360,000 | 75 | \$22,053,000 |
| NOBLE | 195 | 153 | 27 | \$7,361,000 | 15 | \$4,146,000 | 42 | \$11,507,000 |
| NOWATA | 86 | 63 | 22 | \$6,711,000 | 1 | \$225,000 | 23 | \$6,936,000 |
| OKFUSKEE | 161 | 103 | 49 | \$15,738,000 | 9 | \$2,586,000 | 58 | \$18,324,000 |
| OKLAHOMA | 84 | 77 | 5 | \$1,911,000 | 2 | \$450,000 | 7 | \$2,361,000 |
| OKMULGEE | 195 | 119 | 67 | \$21,911,000 | 9 | \$3,319,000 | 76 | \$25,230,000 |
| OSAGE | 261 | 146 | 91 | \$29,945,000 | 24 | \$8,550,000 | 115 | \$38,495,000 |
| OTTAWA | 160 | 96 | 62 | \$18,145,000 | 2 | \$497,000 | 64 | \$18,642,000 |
| PAWNEE | 121 | 59 | 53 | \$19,702,000 | 9 | \$3,556,000 | 62 | \$23,258,000 |
| PAYNE | 263 | 207 | 51 | \$16,332,000 | 5 | \$1,221,000 | 56 | \$17,553,000 |
| PITTSBURG | 211 | 182 | 26 | \$6,749,000 | 3 | \$1,068,000 | 29 | \$7,817,000 |
| PONTOTOC | 181 | 128 | 41 | \$12,098,000 | 12 | \$4,207,000 | 53 | \$16,305,000 |
| POTTAWATOMIE | 262 | 219 | 34 | \$10,658,000 | 9 | \$2,731,000 | 43 | \$13,389,000 |
| PUSHMATAHA | 117 | 99 | 10 | \$5,669,000 | 8 | \$1,878,000 | 18 | \$7,547,000 |
| ROGER MILLS | 101 | 101 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| ROGERS | 121 | 83 | 35 | \$13,234,000 | 3 | \$790,000 | 38 | \$14,024,000 |
| SEMINOLE | 220 | 106 | 102 | \$31,615,000 | 12 | \$3,262,000 | 114 | \$34,877,000 |
| SEQUOYAH | 109 | 93 | 15 | \$4,963,000 | 1 | \$225,000 | 16 | \$5,188,000 |
| STEPHENS | 269 | 233 | 20 | \$10,036,000 | 16 | \$4,396,000 | 36 | \$14,432,000 |
| TEXAS | 71 | 68 | 3 | \$1,621,000 | 0 | \$0 | 3 | \$1,621,000 |
| TILLMAN | 214 | 187 | 22 | \$6,218,000 | 5 | \$1,295,000 | 27 | \$7,513,000 |
| TULSA | 191 | 133 | 38 | \$15,384,000 | 20 | \$7,181,000 | 58 | \$22,565,000 |
| WAGONER | 111 | 89 | 20 | \$6,249,000 | 2 | \$671,000 | 22 | \$6,920,000 |
| WASHINGTON | 147 | 117 | 23 | \$7,474,000 | 7 | \$1,893,000 | 30 | \$9,367,000 |
| WASHITA | 299 | 233 | 33 | \$10,628,000 | 33 | \$10,128,000 | 66 | \$20,756,000 |
| WOODS | 249 | 223 | 25 | \$7,742,000 | 1 | \$225,000 | 26 | \$7,967,000 |
| WOODWARD | 99 | 94 | 4 | \$1,486,000 | 1 | \$539,000 | 5 | \$2,025,000 |
| TOTALS | 13,611 | 10,551 | 2,624 | \$854,893,000 | 436 | \$139,635,000 | 3,060 | \$994,528,000 |

