## SUMMARY OF BRIDGE NEEDS <br> REPLACEMENT COST <br> ACCO BRIDGE SYSTEM / TABLE B

| COUNTY | TOTAL BRIDGES | ADEQUATE BRIDGES | STRUCTURALLY DEFICIENT |  | FUNCTIONALLY OBSOLETE |  | TOTAL OBSOLETE OR DEFICIENT BRIDGES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NUMBER | NUMBER | NUMBER | REPLACEMENT COST | NUMBER | REPLACEMENT COST | NUMBER | $\begin{gathered} \text { REPLACEMENT } \\ \text { COST } \end{gathered}$ |
| ADAIR | 99 | 76 | 21 | \$6,176,000 | 2 | \$450,000 | 23 | \$6,626,000 |
| ALFALFA | 312 | 241 | 67 | \$19,504,000 | 4 | \$1,100,000 | 71 | \$20,604,000 |
| ATOKA | 142 | 128 | 12 | \$3,412,000 | 2 | \$562,000 | 14 | \$3,974,000 |
| BEAVER | 139 | 124 | 15 | \$9,457,000 | 0 | \$0 | 15 | \$9,457,000 |
| BECKHAM | 182 | 168 | 5 | \$1,529,000 | 9 | \$2,501,000 | 14 | \$4,030,000 |
| BLAINE | 232 | 191 | 39 | \$11,129,000 | 2 | \$1,016,000 | 41 | \$12,145,000 |
| BRYAN | 155 | 117 | 26 | \$9,835,000 | 12 | \$3,659,000 | 38 | \$13,494,000 |
| CADDO | 326 | 227 | 94 | \$30,439,000 | 5 | \$1,565,000 | 99 | \$32,004,000 |
| CANADIAN | 177 | 148 | 23 | \$8,245,000 | 6 | \$1,536,000 | 29 | \$9,781,000 |
| CARTER | 205 | 184 | 19 | \$5,755,000 | 2 | \$511,000 | 21 | \$6,266,000 |
| CHEROKEE | 119 | 91 | 25 | \$7,287,000 | 3 | \$2,958,000 | 28 | \$10,245,000 |
| CHOCTAW | 145 | 118 | 23 | \$5,634,000 | 4 | \$1,119,000 | 27 | \$6,753,000 |
| CIMARRON | 45 | 44 | 1 | \$311,000 | 0 | \$0 | 1 | \$311,000 |
| CLEVELAND | 64 | 59 | 5 | \$2,052,000 | 0 | \$0 | 5 | \$2,052,000 |
| COAL | 96 | 77 | 18 | \$5,585,000 | 1 | \$225,000 | 19 | \$5,810,000 |
| COMANCHE | 288 | 224 | 56 | \$16,839,000 | 8 | \$3,405,000 | 64 | \$20,244,000 |
| COTTON | 108 | 98 | 10 | \$2,743,000 | 0 | \$0 | 10 | \$2,743,000 |
| CRAIG | 104 | 69 | 30 | \$8,570,000 | 5 | \$5,102,000 | 35 | \$13,672,000 |
| CREEK | 256 | 125 | 125 | \$38,496,000 | 6 | \$1,731,000 | 131 | \$40,227,000 |
| CUSTER | 269 | 245 | 19 | \$6,466,000 | 5 | \$1,440,000 | 24 | \$7,906,000 |
| DELAWARE | 99 | 72 | 25 | \$8,229,000 | 2 | \$1,502,000 | 27 | \$9,731,000 |
| DEWEY | 138 | 130 | 7 | \$1,797,000 | 1 | \$648,000 | 8 | \$2,445,000 |
| ELLIS | 104 | 96 | 7 | \$2,071,000 | 1 | \$323,000 | 8 | \$2,394,000 |
| GARFIELD | 386 | 262 | 113 | \$35,088,000 | 11 | \$3,754,000 | 124 | \$38,842,000 |
| GARVIN | 242 | 181 | 56 | \$16,016,000 | 5 | \$1,352,000 | 61 | \$17,368,000 |
| GRADY | 292 | 240 | 46 | \$15,809,000 | 6 | \$1,731,000 | 52 | \$17,540,000 |
| GRANT | 447 | 265 | 177 | \$57,689,000 | 5 | \$1,266,000 | 182 | \$58,955,000 |
| GREER | 198 | 185 | 12 | \$8,513,000 | , | \$236,000 | 13 | \$8,749,000 |
| HARMON | 76 | 74 | 2 | \$900,000 | 0 | \$0 | 2 | \$900,000 |
| HARPER | 73 | 66 | 7 | \$2,475,000 | 0 | \$0 | 7 | \$2,475,000 |
| HASKELL | 112 | 87 | 25 | \$7,991,000 | 0 | \$0 | 25 | \$7,991,000 |
| HUGHES | 153 | 96 | 49 | \$15,690,000 | 8 | \$2,667,000 | 57 | \$18,357,000 |
| JACKSON | 233 | 203 | 23 | \$8,546,000 | 7 | \$1,770,000 | 30 | \$10,316,000 |
| JEFFERSON | 95 | 73 | 17 | \$5,154,000 | 5 | \$1,438,000 | 22 | \$6,592,000 |
| JOHNSTON | 99 | 66 | 26 | \$8,097,000 | 7 | \$3,115,000 | 33 | \$11,212,000 |
| KAY | 259 | 196 | 60 | \$19,849,000 | 3 | \$844,000 | 63 | \$20,693,000 |

## SUMMARY OF BRIDGE NEEDS <br> REPLACEMENT COST <br> ACCO BRIDGE SYSTEM / TABLE B

| COUNTY | TOTAL BRIDGES | ADEQUATE BRIDGES | STRUCTURALLY DEFICIENT |  | FUNCTIONALLY OBSOLETE |  | TOTAL OBSOLETE OR DEFICIENT BRIDGES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NUMBER | NUMBER | NUMBER | REPLACEMENT COST | NUMBER | REPLACEMENT COST | NUMBER | $\begin{gathered} \text { REPLACEMENT } \\ \text { COST } \end{gathered}$ |
| KINGFISHER | 251 | 188 | 53 | \$21,109,000 | 10 | \$3,156,000 | 63 | \$24,265,000 |
| KIOWA | 341 | 297 | 35 | \$11,155,000 | 9 | \$2,559,000 | 44 | \$13,714,000 |
| LATIMER | 135 | 96 | 16 | \$3,954,000 | 23 | \$5,175,000 | 39 | \$9,129,000 |
| LEFLORE | 238 | 174 | 49 | \$14,927,000 | 15 | \$4,381,000 | 64 | \$19,308,000 |
| LINCOLN | 367 | 183 | 159 | \$48,775,000 | 25 | \$6,466,000 | 184 | \$55,241,000 |
| LOGAN | 216 | 109 | 95 | \$28,651,000 | 12 | \$3,733,000 | 107 | \$32,384,000 |
| LOVE | 62 | 57 | 5 | \$1,590,000 | 0 | \$0 | 5 | \$1,590,000 |
| MAJOR | 216 | 179 | 35 | \$11,676,000 | 2 | \$819,000 | 37 | \$12,495,000 |
| MARSHALL | 50 | 40 | 10 | \$3,559,000 | 0 | \$0 | 10 | \$3,559,000 |
| MAYES | 122 | 83 | 32 | \$9,595,000 | 7 | \$3,703,000 | 39 | \$13,298,000 |
| MCCLAIN | 125 | 100 | 24 | \$8,557,000 | 1 | \$612,000 | 25 | \$9,169,000 |
| MCCURTAIN | 166 | 121 | 33 | \$11,815,000 | 12 | \$3,985,000 | 45 | \$15,800,000 |
| MCINTOSH | 97 | 73 | 21 | \$6,525,000 | 3 | \$777,000 | 24 | \$7,302,000 |
| MURRAY | 58 | 51 | 7 | \$2,989,000 | 0 | \$0 | 7 | \$2,989,000 |
| MUSKOGEE | 187 | 113 | 70 | \$21,920,000 | 4 | \$967,000 | 74 | \$22,887,000 |
| NOBLE | 195 | 143 | 35 | \$9,744,000 | 17 | \$4,636,000 | 52 | \$14,380,000 |
| NOWATA | 88 | 58 | 29 | \$9,116,000 | 1 | \$225,000 | 30 | \$9,341,000 |
| OKFUSKEE | 161 | 99 | 58 | \$16,871,000 | 4 | \$1,331,000 | 62 | \$18,202,000 |
| OKLAHOMA | 86 | 81 | 2 | \$1,175,000 | 3 | \$450,000 | 5 | \$1,625,000 |
| OKMULGEE | 195 | 109 | 79 | \$25,737,000 | 7 | \$2,533,000 | 86 | \$28,270,000 |
| OSAGE | 260 | 144 | 91 | \$29,333,000 | 25 | \$8,710,000 | 116 | \$38,043,000 |
| OTTAWA | 158 | 93 | 63 | \$18,797,000 | 2 | \$629,000 | 65 | \$19,426,000 |
| PAWNEE | 126 | 62 | 53 | \$18,446,000 | 11 | \$4,659,000 | 64 | \$23,105,000 |
| PAYNE | 262 | 202 | 51 | \$15,861,000 | 9 | \$2,524,000 | 60 | \$18,385,000 |
| PITTSBURG | 208 | 173 | 32 | \$10,335,000 | 3 | \$1,068,000 | 35 | \$11,403,000 |
| PONTOTOC | 181 | 128 | 41 | \$12,497,000 | 12 | \$4,206,000 | 53 | \$16,703,000 |
| POTTAWATOMIE | 258 | 211 | 40 | \$12,337,000 | 7 | \$2,226,000 | 47 | \$14,563,000 |
| PUSHMATAHA | 116 | 104 | 8 | \$2,423,000 | 4 | \$1,053,000 | 12 | \$3,476,000 |
| ROGER MILLS | 101 | 100 | 1 | \$225,000 | 0 | \$0 | 1 | \$225,000 |
| ROGERS | 122 | 80 | 40 | \$14,197,000 | 2 | \$544,000 | 42 | \$14,741,000 |
| SEMINOLE | 221 | 101 | 109 | \$33,741,000 | 11 | \$2,908,000 | 120 | \$36,649,000 |
| SEQUOYAH | 109 | 81 | 27 | \$9,463,000 | 1 | \$542,000 | 28 | \$10,005,000 |
| STEPHENS | 267 | 231 | 18 | \$9,457,000 | 18 | \$4,872,000 | 36 | \$14,329,000 |
| TEXAS | 71 | 67 | 4 | \$2,193,000 | 0 | \$0 | 4 | \$2,193,000 |
| TILLMAN | 212 | 186 | 22 | \$6,124,000 | 4 | \$1,018,000 | 26 | \$7,142,000 |
| TULSA | 186 | 125 | 40 | \$14,892,000 | 21 | \$6,914,000 | 61 | \$21,806,000 |

## SUMMARY OF BRIDGE NEEDS <br> REPLACEMENT COST <br> ACCO BRIDGE SYSTEM / TABLE B

| COUNTY | TOTAL <br> BRIDGES | ADEQUATE <br> RRIDGES | STRUCTURALLY DEFICIENT |  | FUNCTIONALLY OBSOLETE |  | TOTAL OBSOLETE OR DEFICIENT <br> BRIDGES |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | NUMBER | NUMBER | NUMBER | REPLACEMENT COST | NUMBER | REPLACEMENT COST | NUMBER | REPLACEMENT |
| COST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

